

REMARKS

This Response is submitted in response to the final Office Action mailed December 6, 2006. Claims 2-5 and 8-28 were examined on their merits and are currently pending in the present application.

I. The Nonstatutory Double Patenting Rejection

Claims 2-5 and 8-28 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as allegedly being unpatentable over claims 1-16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,668,273 to Rust. *See* Office Action at page 2. Applicants submit concurrently herewith a terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR §1.321 which overcomes the non-statutory double patenting rejection. Applicants further submit that the present application (Serial Number 10/688,485) and issued Patent 6,668,273 are commonly owned by INTERCALL, INC. Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the double patenting rejection in light of the concurrently filed terminal disclaimer.

II. Drawings

Applicant filed eight (8) formal drawings with the original patent application on October 16, 2003. Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner acknowledge the drawings as accepted.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicants believe that the entire application is now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested. If it is believed that prosecution can be assisted thereby, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned representative at the below-listed telephone number. While it is believed this Response is timely submitted, in the event any additional fees are required for the PTO to consider this Response, the PTO is hereby authorized to charge any necessary fee to the undersigned Deposit Account No. 50-2613 (Order Number 45098.00007.CON1.P1068).

Respectfully submitted,

PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP

Dated: 1/5/2007

By: Amy E. Simpson
Amy E. Simpson, Esq.
Reg. No. 54,688

PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP
P.O. BOX 919092
San Diego, CA 92191-9092
Telephone: (858) 720-2500
Facsimile: (858) 720-2555